AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applioents: Campbell et al.						Docket No. PG3602USW	
OCT 0 3 200 rial No. 09/83 836		ng Date y 1, 2001		Examiner B. Robinson		Group Art Unit 1625	
PYRAZOLOPYR	LIDINE DERIVATIVES	S AS SELECTIVE	COX-2 INH	BITORS			RECEIVE
	TO THE with is an amendment calculated and is tran		ified applica		:NTS:	TE	ECH CENTER 1600/2
		CLAIMS A	AS AMENDE	D			
	CLAIMS REMAINING HIGHEST # AFTER AMENDMENT PREV. PAID FOR			BER EXTRA	RATE		ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	0 -		:	0	x \$18	3.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	5 -	5 =	=	0	x \$80	0.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)							\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT							\$0.00
☐ Please cl A duplica ☐ A check i ☑ The Com communi A duplica ☑ Any	onal fee is required for narge Deposit Account te copy of this sheet is n the amount of missioner is hereby au cation or credit any ove te copy of this sheet is additional filing fees re patent application prod	No. enclosed. to cover the athorized to charge erpayment to Dependenced. equired under 37 C	e filing fee is e payment o osit Account C.F.R. 1.16.	the following No. 07-139		ciated	with this
	Signature		Dated:	9/28/01	· 47)	ment an	d fee is being deposited

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Cynthia M. Edwards

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application: I. Campbell et al.

Serial No.:

09/830,836

Examiner:

Harno Marno B. Robinson

Filing Date:

1 May 2001

Art Unit:

1625

For:

PYRAZOLOPYRIDINE DERIVATIVES AS SELECTIVE COX-2 INHIBITORS

Commissioner of Patents Washington D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed 28 August 2001, please reconsider the instant application in view of the following remarks.

Remarks

Currently Claims 1-10, 13-14 and 17-35 are pending.

All Claims are subject to the Examiner's restriction requirement. The Office Action indicates that Applicants are entitled to examination of Examiner's group I together with one method of use claim to be selected from Examiner's groups III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII and one process claim to be selected from Examiner's groups II, IX, X and XI. Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement.

The Examiner's restriction requirement does not account for claims 18, 19 and 20. A proper restriction requirement must account for all pending claims.

Applicants respectfully submit that 37 CFR 1.499(b) states that in national stage applications containing different categories of claims, unity of invention will exist if the claims are drawn to certain specified combinations, including a product, a process